

MAURICE D. HINCHEY
22ND DISTRICT, NEW YORK

WASHINGTON OFFICE:
2431 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-3222
(202) 225-6335

www.house.gov/hinchey

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515-3222

Mr. Patrick R. Donahoe
Postmaster General
United States Postal Service
475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW
Washington, DC 20260-0010

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOPMENT,
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION,
AND RELATED AGENCIES

DEFENSE

INTERIOR AND ENVIRONMENT

COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND MINERAL RESOURCES
NATIONAL PARKS, FORESTS AND
PUBLIC LANDS

JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE

Dear Mr. Donahoe:

I want to express my profound disappointment that USPS's Binghamton Processing and Distribution Facility is once again being targeted for cuts pursuant to a recently concluded Area Mail Processing (AMP) feasibility study. I understand that the AMP proposal for the Binghamton operation is under review at USPS's Northeast Area Office and I urge you to give thorough consideration to the negative impacts that the AMP's proposed changes would have on customers, postal workers, and the community.


I recognize USPS is under tremendous pressure to streamline mail handling in order to remain economically viable in a changing market. I understand the financial stress that USPS is under and, as you may know, I have been pushing for reforms to bring USPS relief from mandated overpayments to the Federal Employee Retirement System as well as the prefunding requirements for retiree health benefits. While Congress works to solve the problems confounding the agency, I find it imprudent for USPS to force changes, such as what is being proposed for Binghamton. Doing so would be a serious mistake.

I cannot support a consolidation plan that adversely impacts USPS employees while diminishing the level of service my constituents depend on from USPS. The economic benefits USPS claims it will achieve by eliminating this facility are questionable given the long additional distances mail will have to travel after being sorted in Syracuse and then delivered back to Binghamton. What cannot be questioned, however, is the negative economic impact of losing 79 jobs in the Binghamton area, combined with the loss of service at a time when this region is still struggling to overcome an economic downturn and the lingering impact of recent record setting flooding. Additionally, I find it unacceptable that next day service for First Class mail will be lost once processing is moved to Syracuse. For these and many other reasons, I firmly believe that the USPS should maintain its current operations in Binghamton.

I appreciate your consideration of my views on this important matter and ask to be kept informed on any decisions relating to this process.

Best regards.

Sincerely,



Maurice D. Hinchey

BINGHAMTON OFFICE:
100A FEDERAL BUILDING
BINGHAMTON, NY 13901
(607) 773-2768

ITHACA OFFICE:
123 SOUTH CAYUGA STREET
SUITE 201
ITHACA, NY 14850
(607) 273-1388

KINGSTON OFFICE:
291 WALL STREET
KINGSTON, NY 12401
(845) 331-4466

MIDDLETOWN OFFICE:
CITY HALL, THIRD FLOOR
16 JAMES STREET
MIDDLETOWN, NY 10940
(845) 344-3211

MONTICELLO OFFICE:
18 ANAWANA LAKE ROAD
MONTICELLO, NY 12701
(845) 791-7116